RPS Subcommittee Meeting #6
12.09.21
Attendees: Deanna Carrithers, Schelley Michel-Nunn, Price Nyland, Ducson Nguyen, John Guttridge, Luca Maurer, Alan Karasin

- ■
  - More info on CAD RMS and Spillman
    - Major features - RMS portion → incidents specific to each agency with dispatch, officer initiated, etc.
    - CAD & mapping that goes with it
    - Mobile communications
    - Fire/EMS management
    - Master tables → allow you to enter data once and have it automatically shared among related modules
    - Agencies mandated by New York State policies
    - Centralized set of data in RMS
    - RMS and CAD tied together in one software
      - Potential to move IPD to different RMS which means different CAD, 911 center, maybe the whole county with it
- ■
  - I know we are not presently tracking demographic data - there isn't anything in the system that prevents us from doing that, right? To prevent unequal police activity by demographic or in different parts of the city?
- ■
  - That is my understanding, yes.
- ■
  - It depends on the event you're referring to. In Spillman, I'm sure that's possible. That data would populate Spillman. I'm not sure without research if Trax has that capability.
- ■
  - I'm fairly confident that the answer is yes. I will confirm our capabilities.
- ■
  - How does someone obtain demographic information in a traffic stop?
- ■
  - I know one thing done is scanning the driver's license, but it might not be part of that barcode scanning. That's a very good question.
- ■
  - That sounds correct. I have heard feedback that an officer can make an assessment on sight, which points to a broader issue. Our systems would need to create an entire protocol to do this.
- ■
  - Do you know much about the personnel module? What exactly is that?
- ■

- It's been a while, so it's probably changed a bit. I believe it was for tracking certifications and training, primarily.

- ▮▮▮▮▮▮▮
  - How would you describe the limitations of the system?

- ▮▮▮
  - I will say, the best thing we can do with whatever system we're using is have accurate data. One of the problems is the nature of how things happen in police work, an incident can end, and then the officer is noting events. It can become less than ideal. Now we can upload clean empirical data from BWC without the officer needing to do anything. If the holster is tied to the body cam, that time can be saved. Same with a taser. Equipment can be tied to the officer's safety and use, and provide data into the system itself to be built into CAD and RMS in the future. I don't know if those are in the future for Spillman, but it exists. To me, it's what can be done to improve the data without relying on or burdening officers. It should be automated at this point (Axxon does our BWC, evidence, etc.) We need to be able to report accurate response times, and technology and automation can better report those times.

- ▮▮▮▮▮▮
  - You've described a lot of capabilities that we don't make use of. Can you go through the sheet and tell us what we use and what we don't use?

- ▮▮▮
  - We need that list from Greg Potter, as I know it has changed over the years.

- ▮▮▮▮▮▮
  - We can get that list and go over it. We'd like to get a better sense of what is coming, as well.

- ▮▮▮
  - What are the data points that are available to us based on how we're using the system? What's in there? What of those fields is sort of free-form data, human entry reliant, and what is expected to be relatively structured data?

- ▮▮▮▮▮▮
  - Any other questions? Action steps: come back to our list, check with ▮▮▮ on that, and see about some of these traffic specific questions.